Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/681,188	OKAMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Scott Egan	2622	

All Participants:

(1) *Scott Egan*.

(2) *William Brooks*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *1 March 2007*

Time: *10:15*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
7 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner's Ammendment was discussed for claims 7 and 10, merely inserted the word the on page 28 lines 6 and 7 in front of second installation and power generator respectively as well as inserted the in front of second installation on page 29 line 19.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

NGOC-YEN VU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)